

FILED

SEP 12 2011


RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 Before the Court are motions filed by a number of Doe
19 defendants to quash the subpoena seeking their identifying
20 information from an ISP provider. All of the movants are
21 from out-of-state. They typically raise such issues as lack
22 of personal jurisdiction, lack of venue and question why they
23 should be dealing with this issue in San Francisco. Inasmuch
24 as all of these Does have been severed, Docket No. 66, **IT IS**
25 **ORDERED** that the motions to quash of the Does on the attached
26 list are **GRANTED** and the subpoenas quashed without prejudice
27 to plaintiff seeking a new subpoena in their respective
28 districts. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff shall serve

1 a copy of this Order on each affected ISP provider by
2 **September 19, 2011.**

3 Dated: September 12, 2011

4 
5 Bernard Zimmerman
6 United States Magistrate Judge

7 G:\BZALL\ -BZCASES\ON THE CHEAP V. DOES\AMENDED ORDER QUASHING SUBPOENAS.wpd

ATTACHMENT

This Amended Order Quashing Subpoenas applies to the following Doe defendants:

Doe "T" - IP Address: 71.222.18.220

Doe 406 - IP Address: 173.79.120.15

Doe 703 - IP Address: 74.109.64.16

Doe - IP Address: 74.103.188.127

Doe - IP Address: 75.105.12.149

Doe - IP Address: 70.109.224.221

Doe 1250- IP Address: 74.110.58.6